

#7/a  
mm  
7-29-03

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s): Cain

Application No.: 09/458,190

Filed: 12/09/1999

Title: Expediting an Operation in a Computer System

Attorney Docket No.: 2204/185 120.185

Group Art Unit: 2127

Examiner: Vo

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR 1.111

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action of April 28, 2003, please amend the claims to this application as shown on the sheets attached hereto, which have been amended according to current PTO practice.

REMARKS

Reconsideration and further examination is respectfully requested.

Claim 1 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter of the invention. Claim 1 has been amended, and in view of the amendment it is submitted that the claim is now clear and that the rejection has been overcome and should be withdrawn.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §102

Claims 1, 2, 5-7, 10-12 and 15 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102 as being anticipated by Lim (U.S. Pat. 6,430,640).